	daman Osta Barra		144	DA CASE NO.	EPA CASE NO.	
		rtment of Agriculture N. SUMMARY - S		1074-9		
CALL TAKEN BY					/	<u>خ</u>
Contract of	ام م	11-00-	′ 1,1.	OLLOW-UP INV (AA AG FOLLOW-UP IN	N) IV (BB)	
INVESTIGATOR ASSIGNED	m rcy	DATE 1/- 28-	0 m 2. □ NON	EPA CASE		
		1 '	· · II	LSES		
mi	ke H.	TIME 2:45	no.	····		
COMPLAINANT / REFERRING	PARTY	TELEPHONE NUMBER	INCIDENT A	DORESS / LOCATION		
COMPLAINANT / REFERRING	PARTY ADDRESS					
DAMAGED / AGGRIEVED PAI	RTY		<u> </u>	TEL	EPHONE NUMBER	
Canna						
DAMAGED/AGGRIEVED PAI	RTY ADDRESS					
Carre						
SAME NATURE OF INCIDENT						
	Orchans	Applied	Rodenticide	Ared	Non his	
2 dos	s Are S	ick +	Redenticible 2 Meryslas	does	dised	,
			**************************************			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
*******						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		*****************************	***************************************			

COUNTY OF INCIDENT	DATE OF INCIDENT	DATE OF FIRST CONTAC	DATE OF FIRST VISIT TO	TARGET CROP/S		P/SITE
YAKIMA	TIME		INCIDENT/-29-94	Page Ory	hard Docs	
PESTICIDE REG. NUMBER(S		P	ESTICIDE BRAND NAME(S)	\		
2393-49	<u> </u>	TEIN AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF	Remik-Brow			
ALLEGED INFRACTOR(S)		FIRM NAME/LICENSE N	NMBER	DUCENSE	FIRM TEL. NO.	
						<u></u>
PARTIE AND	1.4000000			MUNLICEN.		
INFRACTOR ADDRESS/FIRM	A ADDRESS				INFRACTOR TEL. NO.	
orchand and	dress	1			INFRACTOR TEL. NO.	
orchand And	dress se 6. 21 private a	PPLICATOR 3. 🗆 PBE	BLIC OPERATOR	4. PRESEARCH	å DEMO 12 □ REGISTR	ANT
orchand And	dress se 6. 21 private a	IAL APPLICATOR 7. COI	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT	4. ☐ RESEARCH 9. ☐ DEALER MA	& DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR	CONSULT.
Orchard Add	SE 6. 2 PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC	IAL APPLICATOR 7. COI	BLIC OPERATOR MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR	4. PRESEARCH	å DEMO 12 □ REGISTR	CONSULT.
orchard Ad	SE 6. 2 PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC	IAL APPLICATOR 7. COI	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT	4. ☐ RESEARCH 9. ☐ DEALER MA	& DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR	CONSULT.
Orchard Add	SE 6. 2 PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC	IAL APPLICATOR 7. ☐ COI IAL OPERATOR 5. ☐ PRI	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT	4. ☐ RESEARCH 9. ☐ DEALER MA	& DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR	CONSULT.
Orchard Add	SE 6. PRIVATE A SORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS	IAL APPLICATOR 7. ☐ COI IAL OPERATOR 5. ☐ PRI	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR	4. ☐ RESEARCH 9. ☐ DEALER MA	& DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECOLICENSE NUMBERS/ENDOR	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. COI	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
OFCHARL BLICENSED LICENSE NUMBERS/ENDOR	SE 6. TO PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER	å DEMO 12. □ REGISTR NAGER 8. □ PUBLIC C 11. □ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECOLICENSE NUMBERS/ENDOR	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECO	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECO	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECO	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECO	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT. SPECIFY)
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECO	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT.
Orchand LICENSED LICENSED CATECO	SE 6. A PRIVATE A GORY: 1. COMMERC 2. COMMERC SEMENTS SAMPLE INFORMATI WSDA SAMPLE NO.	IAL APPLICATOR 7. CON	MMERCIAL CONSULTANT VATE/COMM. APPLICATOR F.T.R. SAMPLE	4. RESEARCH 9. DEALER MA 10. DEALER MEDRUM	A DEMO 12. ☐ REGISTR NAGER 8. ☐ PUBLIC C 11. ☐ OTHER (S	CONSULT.

PESTICIDE	INVESTIGATION	SUMMARY -	
		Section	R

WSDA CASE NO. 107Y - 94 EPA CASE NO.

INVESTIGATOR SUMMARY, FINDINGS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Summary: Dog poisonings allegedly due to a pesticide application in an adjacent orchard.

Findings:

- * On November 28, 1994 Selah resident reported that his two dogs were poisoned by a pesticide in the neighboring (complaintant's statement and site map in case file).
- * Death of two dogs also on 11/22 and 11/23 reported by resident who lives about 200 yards east of and adjacent to (no compliant filed). On 11/29 the investigator observed one dead dog laying about 100 feet west of residence.
- * dogs were examined by veterinarian T. Gamlen on 11/29. One had died. He said symptoms and autopsy results were indicative of diphacinone poisoning. Blood sample taken from the dead dog were submitted to the Yakima WSDA lab.
- *Lab Results: diphacinone not detected at MDL of 0.28 ppm.
- * Investigation at Remick Brown (a diphacinone formulation) was applied in the orchard on 11/16 and 17 using a mechanical spreader at 20 lbs./acre (statement by orchard manager and spray record in case file). Site inspection revealed no violation of the label or probable source of poisoning.
- * Investigations of other nearby orchards: No other applications of Remik-Brown. ZP Rodent Bait (zinc phosphide), and Gastoxin (aluminum phosphide) had been applied between 10/28 and 11/8 at orchard which is approximately 1/4 mile south of the complaints home. Toxic affects of these products are not consistent with symptoms of the poisoned dogs. No material was found in the orchard and no possible access to stored pesticides was found.

Definite source of poisoning could not be established.

Recommendations: No action

Agencies Notified:	Send Report/Summary To:	Referred by:	
4. []EPA	4. []EPA	4. []EPA	Hours Spent: 28.5
0. [] DOH	0. [] ДОН	0. []DOH	Hileage: 89
1. []L&I	1. []L&I	1. []L&I	Per Diem Hours: 0
2. []Ecology	2. []Ecology	2. []Ecology	Inv. Completed Date: 01/26/95
3. []Wildlife	3. []Wildlife	3. []Wildlife	Initial Reviewer: 6
5. Other:	5. Other: *	5. Other:	Date Reviewed: 2-14-45

Recommended Action:

- 2. [x]NO ACTION 3. E JWARNING LETTER 4. E JADMINISTRATIVE ACTION
- 1. []VERBAL WARNING 2. []ADVISORY LETTER 5. []OTHER (EXPLAIN:)

